JOURNAL OF MODERN SOCIAL SCIENCES Volume 2 Issue 1 , 2025, 183-189
ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

The Future Development and Social Implications of the Metaverse

Mengyu Liu[1*]

[1]*Sookmyung Women's University, Korea*
**Corresponding author:daimou971231@naver.com*

Abstract

The Metaverse, as an emerging virtual world, is gradually transforming human social relationships and behavior patterns. This paper explores the Metaverse from a social science perspective, examining its technological foundations, impact on social interactions, changes in behavior patterns, governance, and ethical challenges, while also forecasting its future development trends. The study reveals that the decentralized nature, immersive experience, and virtual economy of the Metaverse are shaping new forms of social interaction and raising a series of legal and ethical issues. Finally, this paper proposes governance and policy recommendations for the future development of the Metaverse to ensure its healthy growth.

Keywords: Metaverse, Social Change, Virtual Interaction, Digital Economy, Ethics

Suggested citation: Liu, M. (2025). The Future Development and Social Implications of the Metaverse . *Journal of Modern Social Sciences*, 2(2), 183–189. https://doi.org/10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

Introduction

The term "Metaverse" was first proposed by science fiction writer Neal Stephenson in his 1992 novel Snow Crash, where he described a virtual reality environment composed of digital worlds (Stephenson, 1992). In recent years, with the development of virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and Web3.0 technologies, the concept of the Metaverse has gradually evolved from science fiction into reality (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020). Today, the Metaverse is widely defined as an immersive, decentralized, and interoperable virtual environment in which users can create digital identities, participate in social activities, and engage in economic transactions (Ball, 2022).

Currently, the Metaverse is primarily supported by the following key technologies: Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) provide immersive interactive experiences, allowing users to "enter" the virtual world and interact with the environment (Kim, 2021). Blockchain and Smart Contracts ensure decentralized governance while allowing users to own virtual assets (such as NFTs) and conduct transactions (De Filippi & Wright, 2018). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data support the automation of virtual worlds, including AI-driven NPCs (non-player characters), voice assistants, and social recommendation systems (West, 2022). Cloud Computing and 5G provide high-bandwidth, low-latency computing environments, making large-scale multiplayer interactions possible (Nakamura, 2021).

As Metaverse technologies mature, their impact on society has become a focus of academic research. Social science studies suggest that the Metaverse is not merely a virtual world but rather a new type of social ecosystem (Berger & Luckmann, 1966). It brings profound changes in social relationships, individual identity, economic systems, legal frameworks, and ethical considerations (Smith & Jones, 2021). Under the framework of social science research, studies on the Metaverse mainly focus on the following areas: Social Constructivist Perspective explores how the Metaverse may become a "social reality" and how it shapes human perception and interaction (Blascovich & Bailenson, 2011). Human Behavior Patterns examine changes in socializing, consumption, and work habits in virtual worlds (Davis et al., 2021). Economy and Governance investigate how virtual economic models (such as NFTs and DAOs) influence real-world economic systems (De Filippi & Wright, 2018). Legal and Ethical Issues focus on how to protect user privacy, manage virtual crimes, and establish a fair digital governance framework in the Metaverse (Bryson, 2020).

With Facebook (now Meta), Microsoft, Google, Roblox, and other tech companies accelerating Metaverse development, social scientists have extensively studied its social impact, technological ethics, and governance models. These studies not only help us understand the current state of the Metaverse but also provide theoretical support for its future development. Following the COVID-19 pandemic, remote work, online education, and digital economies have rapidly developed, providing an opportunity for the rise of the Metaverse (Park & Kim, 2022). At this stage, the Metaverse has begun to influence various aspects of human social relationships: users can create virtual identities and interact with people globally through platforms such as Horizon Worlds, VRChat, and Roblox (Kim, 2021). Enterprises are adopting virtual meetings and remote collaboration platforms, such as Microsoft's Mesh for Teams and Meta's Workrooms (Johnson et al., 2023). The rise of virtual currencies and NFT trading markets has made digital assets a new form of investment (De Filippi & Wright, 2018). However, issues such as privacy breaches, virtual harassment, and algorithmic bias are becoming increasingly

ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

prominent (Bryson, 2020). These changes have sparked widespread social discussions, prompting researchers to further explore how the Metaverse profoundly impacts human social relationships and behavioral patterns.

Based on this background, this study will explore the following core questions: (1) How does the Metaverse reshape individual identity? (2) How does the Metaverse transform social relationships? (3) How does the Metaverse influence consumption behavior, education, and work models? (4) What are the governance and ethical challenges of the Metaverse? To deeply understand these questions, this paper adopts literature analysis, case studies, and survey methods, integrating Metaverse theoretical frameworks to examine its impact on social relationships and human behavior and propose corresponding policy recommendations. The structure of this paper is as follows: Chapter 1 introduces the concept of the Metaverse, its social science background, and research questions. Chapter 2 analyzes the theoretical foundations and social impacts of the Metaverse. Chapter 3 explores how the Metaverse reshapes social relationships and human behavior. Chapter 4 discusses the governance and ethical challenges of the Metaverse. Chapter 5 summarizes the research findings and presents future policy recommendations.

Theoretical Framework and Social Implications of the Metaverse

Definition and Technological Foundations of the Metaverse

The Metaverse can be defined as a decentralized, shared virtual space driven by digital technologies, where users can engage in social interactions, economic transactions, and creative activities through immersive technologies (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020). The realization of this concept relies on the following core technologies:

VR and AR technologies are key enablers of immersive experiences in the Metaverse. VR technology creates a fully computer-generated virtual environment that users can enter and interact with using VR devices such as Oculus Quest and HTC Vive (Blascovich & Bailenson, 2011). In contrast, AR technology overlays digital information onto the real world, as seen in games like Pokémon Go (Kim, 2021). The combination of VR and AR enhances the interactivity and realism of the Metaverse, offering new possibilities for remote socialization, education, and work (Johnson, Peters, & Wang, 2023).

Blockchain technology provides a decentralized economic model for the Metaverse, ensuring security and ownership of virtual assets (De Filippi & Wright, 2018). Through smart contracts, blockchain enables Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs), allowing users to conduct transactions without the need for centralized institutions. This mechanism grants users greater autonomy while reducing reliance on traditional financial systems (Nakamura, 2021).

Artificial intelligence (AI) plays a significant role in the Metaverse, mainly through intelligent assistants, AI-generated content (AIGC), and virtual characters (West, 2022). AI enhances personalization by leveraging natural language processing (NLP) to create smart NPCs, improving the realism of user interactions (Floridi, 2021). Furthermore, AI contributes to automated management and recommendation systems in social interactions, enhancing the sustainability of the Metaverse (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020).

NFTs (Non-Fungible Tokens) are a crucial component of the Metaverse's economic system, enabling users to purchase, trade, and own unique digital assets such as virtual real estate, artwork, and fashion items (Smith & Jones, 2021). By leveraging blockchain technology, NFTs address the ownership issue of digital assets, allowing users to truly "own" virtual items rather than merely obtaining usage rights (De Filippi & Wright, 2018).

The Metaverse from a Social Science Perspective

The Metaverse is not merely a product of technological innovation but also a continuously evolving social space. From the perspective of social sciences, the formation and development of the Metaverse can be explained through social constructivism and virtual interaction theory.

Social constructivism posits that social relationships, identity formation, and cultural patterns in the real world are collectively created through human interactions (Berger & Luckmann, 1966). In the Metaverse, users engage in social interactions, economic transactions, and content creation through their virtual identities, thereby constructing a new form of social reality. This theory explains why individuals can establish stable social networks and develop a sense of identity and belonging within virtual worlds (Davis et al., 2021).

The virtual interaction theory, proposed by Blascovich and Bailenson (2011), suggests that human behavior in virtual environments is influenced by perceived immersion, social cognition, and situational norms. The stronger the sense of immersion in the Metaverse, the more realistically users behave within it. For instance, interactions in VR meetings or virtual classrooms closely resemble those in the real world, demonstrating the Metaverse’s potential to shape human social behavior (Johnson et al., 2023).

Changes in Virtual Identity and Social Roles

With the development of the Metaverse, virtual identity is gradually becoming an extension of real-world identity, and in some cases, it may even surpass the importance of real-world identity (Kim, 2021). In traditional society, an individual’s identity is primarily based on real-world social roles, such as profession, gender, and nationality. However, in the Metaverse, users can create entirely different virtual identities, which are not just replications of their real-world selves but may also diverge significantly from them (Davis et al., 2021). For example, a real-world teacher might become a virtual artist in the Metaverse, or an ordinary user might establish a strong social network in the digital world through their virtual identity.

In the Metaverse, human interactions are no longer limited by geographical boundaries, and the formation of social networks is increasingly based on shared interests, skills, and virtual communities (Park & Kim, 2022). Research suggests that virtual communities can enhance social participation but may also lead to social isolation and virtual addiction (West, 2022).

The rise of the Metaverse is not only the result of technological advancements but also a reflection of evolving social interaction patterns. From a technological perspective, VR, AR, blockchain,

ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

AI, and NFTs collectively create a decentralized, immersive, and interconnected virtual world. From a social science perspective, the impact of the Metaverse on human social relationships can be understood through social constructivism and virtual interaction theory. Furthermore, the integration of virtual and real-world identities is shaping new social behavior patterns, leading to unprecedented changes in self-identity and social roles. In the future, as technology advances and governance frameworks improve, the Metaverse is expected to further influence economic, cultural, and legal systems.

Transformation of Social Relationships and Human Interaction

The social interaction model in the Metaverse differs significantly from traditional social networks of the internet era, such as Facebook and Twitter. Unlike these platforms, the Metaverse leverages immersive technologies and emphasizes virtual identity, interactive experience, and virtual communities (Blascovich & Bailenson, 2011). Within the Metaverse, users can create their own avatars, communicate within three-dimensional spaces, and participate in virtual activities such as meetings, social gatherings, and gaming (Kim, 2021).

The Reconstruction of Virtual Social Interaction

From a sociological perspective, the rise of virtual social interactions can be explained through social constructivism. Berger and Luckmann (1966) argued that social reality is continuously constructed through human interactions. The Metaverse redefines the ways in which people engage with one another in virtual environments, enabling users to overcome geographical and physical limitations to establish global social relationships (Davis et al., 2021).

Within these virtual social environments, social etiquette has become increasingly important. For instance, in VRChat, users are expected to maintain appropriate social distances to avoid "invading" others' personal space. Moreover, issues such as virtual harassment and verbal abuse have garnered growing attention, prompting platforms to introduce "Metaverse social etiquette" guidelines to regulate user behavior (West, 2022).

The reconstruction of virtual social interactions has not only transformed the way people engage with one another but has also introduced new social norms and challenges. These developments necessitate continuous governance adjustments by Metaverse platforms to foster a fairer and more inclusive virtual social environment.

The Transformation of Remote Work and Education

Social interactions in the Metaverse differ significantly from those in the real world, necessitating the reconstruction of etiquette and behavioral norms. For instance, the concept of social distance in virtual environments is fundamentally different from that in physical spaces. While users are not bound by physical constraints in virtual spaces, they still need to adhere to specific social distance norms and etiquette (West, 2022). Additionally, concerns regarding virtual harassment have emerged, including unauthorized intrusion into others' virtual spaces, inappropriate language, and disruptive behaviors (Kim, 2021).

Microsoft's Mesh and Meta's Workrooms are Metaverse-based platforms designed specifically for remote work, integrating VR/AR technologies to facilitate virtual meetings, 3D interactions, and collaborative work (Johnson et al., 2023). Traditional remote work primarily relies on video conferencing tools such as Zoom and Microsoft Teams. In contrast, Mesh and Workrooms provide a more immersive collaboration experience. For example, in Mesh, users can hold meetings in a 3D virtual office, utilize virtual whiteboards, and engage in real-time gesture interactions, enhancing teamwork efficiency (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020).

Despite the potential of immersive remote work, several challenges remain. Prolonged use of VR devices may cause physical discomfort, while the lack of face-to-face emotional exchanges could impact team cohesion (Davis et al., 2021). Although virtual workspaces are becoming an integral part of future work models, further technological optimizations and new management strategies are required to better accommodate this emerging work paradigm.

The Reshaping of Social Organizations and Economic Models

With the increasing adoption of remote work, the Metaverse has introduced new digital workplace models, enhancing the efficiency of remote collaboration (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020). In traditional remote work settings, employees typically rely on video conferencing and instant messaging tools. However, Metaverse-based work platforms such as Microsoft Mesh and Meta Workrooms enhance collaboration and immersion through virtual meetings, interactive whiteboards, and 3D interactions (Johnson et al., 2023).

ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

Educational platforms are also leveraging Metaverse technologies to enhance learning efficiency. Engage, a VR-based educational platform, and Roblox Education, which focuses on gamified learning, are exploring how immersive technologies can improve education (Park & Kim, 2022).Engage allows students to participate in virtual classrooms and interact with teachers and peers. For instance, medical students can conduct virtual dissections, while history students can "travel" to ancient cities for an immersive historical learning experience (Johnson et al., 2023). Similarly, Roblox Education encourages students to engage in game-based learning, where they complete mathematical challenges or scientific experiments within virtual environments (Kim, 2021).

This paper explores the transformative impact of the Metaverse on virtual social interactions, remote work and education, and economic and organizational models. The findings suggest that the Metaverse is reshaping social relationships, fundamentally altering the way people socialize, work, learn, and engage in economic activities.

However, despite its potential, the development of the Metaverse still faces several challenges, including:

- The establishment of social norms in virtual environments.
- The optimization of remote work experiences to enhance productivity and employee well-being.
- Ensuring educational equity, particularly in access to immersive learning technologies.
- Addressing issues related to decentralized governance and the regulation of digital economies.

Future research must further investigate these challenges to ensure the sustainable development of Metaverse technologies, enabling them to contribute to a more inclusive, efficient, and ethically governed digital society.

Ethical, Legal, and Governance Challenges in the Metaverse

With the rapid development of the Metaverse, human society is undergoing a profound technological transformation. This digital ecosystem, built upon core technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), Blockchain, and Artificial Intelligence (AI), has introduced new economic, social, and governance models (Ball, 2022). However, despite its vast potential, the Metaverse also presents numerous governance and societal challenges, including legal frameworks, social equity, and ethical concerns (West, 2022).

Digital Governance and Legal Frameworks: Privacy Protection and Virtual Property Rights

One of the core characteristics of the Metaverse is its highly immersive interactive experience, which involves the massive collection and analysis of user biometric data (such as eye-tracking, facial recognition, and gesture control) and behavioral data (West, 2022).

From a legal perspective, while existing privacy regulations offer some degree of oversight, the decentralized and distributed nature of the Metaverse makes it difficult for traditional legal frameworks to fully regulate this emerging space. Scholars propose the establishment of a dynamic digital privacy governance system that integrates technological solutions, such as Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKP) and Decentralized Identifiers (DID), to enhance data security (Park & Kim, 2022).

Virtual assets, including virtual real estate, NFTs, and digital currencies, are emerging as new economic entities. However, the legal status and ownership rights of these assets remain ambiguous (Smith & Jones, 2021). The primary challenges include:Many countries have yet to legally recognize NFTs or virtual real estate, making it difficult for users to protect their digital property rights through legal means (De Filippi & Wright, 2018).Smart contracts enable the execution of asset transactions on the blockchain, but their irreversible nature poses risks, such as hacking incidents or code vulnerabilities (Kim, 2021).While decentralized economic models are gaining popularity, many virtual worlds—such as Meta's Horizon Worlds—are still centralized platforms, meaning that users' assets are subject to platform-imposed restrictions (West, 2022).

To address these issues, scholars advocate for the establishment of global virtual property rights regulations to protect users' legal interests in digital economies. Additionally, smart contract auditing, legal intervention mechanisms, and regulatory oversight could help mitigate potential legal disputes in virtual transactions (Nakamura, 2021).

Social Equity and the Digital Divide

Despite the Metaverse's promise of creating an inclusive virtual environment where users can equally participate in social, economic, and professional activities, significant digital divides have emerged (Park & Kim, 2022). One of the primary barriers is high hardware costs, as advanced VR devices such as Oculus Quest 3 and HTC Vive Pro remain expensive, making it difficult for low-income individuals to access the Metaverse. This has led to concerns over "technological elitism," where only those with sufficient financial resources can fully engage in virtual experiences (Nakamura, 2021). Another challenge is unequal network infrastructure, as high-speed internet and cloud computing are essential for seamless Metaverse interactions. However, many developing regions and rural areas lack the necessary technological infrastructure, restricting their ability to access virtual environments (Smith & Jones, 2021). Additionally, digital literacy

and skill gaps pose further obstacles, as Metaverse platforms require a certain level of technological proficiency. Given the unequal distribution of digital education resources, older adults and low-income communities may struggle to adapt, effectively excluding them from digital society (Johnson et al., 2023). To address these challenges, researchers propose reducing hardware costs by promoting affordable VR devices, expanding digital education programs to improve users' Metaverse-related skills, and encouraging government-private sector collaborations to enhance network infrastructure in underserved areas (West, 2022).

Beyond technological barriers, social and economic structures within the Metaverse still suffer from systemic biases. AI-driven recommendation systems, widely used in Metaverse platforms, often reinforce pre-existing societal biases related to gender, race, and age. In particular, AI-based hiring algorithms may perpetuate historical employment discrimination, unintentionally reducing fair job opportunities in the Metaverse (Floridi, 2021). Furthermore, economic opportunities in the Metaverse remain unequally distributed, as large corporations continue to dominate virtual commerce, limiting the ability of independent creators and small businesses to compete (Kim, 2021). To mitigate these issues, scholars suggest implementing transparent AI auditing mechanisms to prevent algorithmic discrimination in areas such as recruitment and content recommendations. Additionally, promoting decentralized economic models could help prevent market monopolization and ensure fairer access to financial opportunities in the Metaverse (De Filippi & Wright, 2018).

Metaverse Social Impact Survey Study

With the rapid development of Metaverse technology, its impact on social interactions, remote work and education, economic equity, and mental health has garnered widespread attention. This study adopts a questionnaire survey to explore the public's acceptance, user experience, and the potential social transformations and challenges brought about by the Metaverse. The survey was conducted from January 1, 2025, to February 15, 2025, collecting a total of 120 valid responses. Respondents covered different age groups and occupational backgrounds: 30% were aged 18-24, 35% were 25-34, 20% were 35-44, and 15% were 45 and above. In terms of occupation, 40% were students, 30% were corporate employees, 15% were freelancers, and 15% were classified as others (including government employees, researchers, etc.). Regarding usage, 35% of respondents used the Metaverse occasionally (1-3 times per month), 30% used it frequently (at least once a week), 15% were deep users (daily usage), while 20% had never used it.

The survey results indicate that 75% of respondents believe the Metaverse enhances social interactions, particularly by providing more opportunities for communication across regions and among individuals with social barriers. However, 40% expressed concerns that the Metaverse might reduce real-world social interactions, with some believing that over-reliance on virtual socializing could weaken real-life communication skills and lead to more superficial interpersonal connections. Additionally, 45% of users preferred interacting with real-life friends in the Metaverse, while 35% found it a platform for meeting new friends, and 20% considered virtual social experiences to be unsatisfactory.

Regarding remote work and education, 65% of respondents supported Metaverse-based remote work, believing it increases work flexibility. However, 35% felt that remote work was less efficient due to communication barriers, virtual fatigue, and the blurring boundaries between work and personal life. Additionally, 70% of respondents agreed that immersive learning enhances educational effectiveness, especially in fields requiring simulated operations such as medicine, engineering, and history. However, some were concerned that the high cost of VR devices might limit educational equity and affect students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.

In terms of economic equity, 80% of respondents believed that the high cost of VR devices and high-speed internet could exacerbate the digital divide, preventing people in economically disadvantaged areas from participating equally in the Metaverse. Moreover, 60% of respondents worried that the Metaverse economy might be monopolized by large corporations. Currently, NFT transactions, virtual real estate, and DAO organizations are largely controlled by a small number of capital holders, making it difficult for ordinary users and small creators to compete and benefit from the Metaverse economy.

Regarding mental health and ethical concerns, 55% of respondents believed the Metaverse could lead to "virtual addiction," with some users reporting excessive immersion in Metaverse games or social activities, negatively affecting their studies and work. Additionally, 85% of respondents expressed concerns about data privacy, fearing that Metaverse platforms might misuse biometric data (such as eye tracking and gesture control) and that big data analytics and personalized recommendations could influence users' autonomy. Furthermore, 55% of respondents believed AI algorithms could cause bias in areas such as recruitment, social matching, and information recommendations due to deficiencies in training data, potentially leading to gender, racial, or age discrimination.

Regarding policy and regulation, 90% of respondents supported increased government regulation of the Metaverse, with key concerns including data privacy protection, virtual property rights, market competition fairness, and content governance. They believed stricter regulations should be enacted to ensure users have autonomy over their personal data and to establish a decentralized market regulatory framework to prevent tech giants from monopolizing the Metaverse economy. Many respondents also hoped for cooperation between governments and enterprises to reduce the cost of VR devices, improve technological accessibility, enhance network infrastructure, and promote Metaverse-related technology education, ensuring that people from all socioeconomic backgrounds can access the Metaverse fairly.

Overall, this survey indicates that the public holds a cautiously optimistic attitude toward the future of the Metaverse. While the Metaverse demonstrates great potential in social interactions, work, and education, it also faces challenges such as social isolation, remote work efficiency, economic equity, virtual addiction, and data privacy concerns. Most respondents support government intervention to ensure fair technological development and hope the Metaverse will lower entry barriers, enabling more people to access this emerging ecosystem fairly. Future development should focus on technological optimization, legal regulation, reducing the digital divide, protecting mental health, and increasing algorithm

ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

transparency to ensure that the Metaverse evolves into a more equitable, healthy, and sustainable virtual world. The findings of this study provide valuable data support for policymakers, enterprises, and academia, contributing to the rational development of the Metaverse and maximizing its social value.

Future Prospects and Conclusion

As an emerging digital ecosystem, the Metaverse is profoundly impacting multiple aspects of human society, including social interactions, economic models, work structures, and cultural exchanges. With the continuous evolution of core technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), blockchain, and Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Metaverse is progressing toward greater immersion, intelligence, and decentralization. However, this rapidly advancing field also presents numerous challenges, including privacy protection, the digital divide, ethical norms, and governance frameworks. Therefore, analyzing future trends in the Metaverse and exploring ways to balance technological innovation with sustainable social development are crucial for its healthy growth. This paper examines the development direction of the Metaverse from the perspectives of technological evolution, social adaptation, policy regulation, and societal transformation. It also proposes policy recommendations to promote fairness and strengthen regulations, ensuring the sustainable development of the Metaverse.

The future Metaverse will likely experience breakthroughs in four key areas. First, advancements in VR and AR technologies will enhance immersion and interactivity, improving users' sensory experiences in virtual environments. Second, the development of AI will drive the intelligence of the Metaverse, including intelligent NPCs, personalized recommendation systems, and automated content generation, thereby increasing the realism and interactivity of user experiences. Third, a decentralized economic model will become a defining feature of the Metaverse. Blockchain, NFTs, and smart contracts will grant users greater virtual property rights, reducing centralized platforms' control over digital assets. Lastly, improvements in cloud computing and high-speed networks will enable the Metaverse to operate with low latency and high throughput, enhancing accessibility for users.

However, as technology advances, social adaptability issues cannot be ignored. Current legal and regulatory frameworks lag behind technological progress, making it difficult to effectively regulate data privacy, property rights, and content governance within the Metaverse. Additionally, the immersive nature of the Metaverse may exacerbate mental health issues such as virtual addiction and social isolation, further affecting users' real lives. Therefore, as society adapts to the Metaverse, comprehensive measures in legal, ethical, and educational aspects are necessary to mitigate potential social risks.

Governments, enterprises, and academic institutions need to collaborate to establish a rational regulatory framework that mitigates potential risks and protects user rights. First, dedicated legislation should be enacted to ensure data privacy and security, granting users the right to information, access, and deletion of their personal data to prevent misuse. Second, legal recognition of virtual property rights should be reinforced, ensuring users' digital assets are legally protected against arbitrary disposals by platforms or third parties. Additionally, for content governance, a decentralized regulatory system is recommended, combining AI-powered content moderation with community-based governance models to curb misinformation, cyber harassment, and other violations in virtual spaces.

At the same time, the Metaverse may exacerbate social inequality, making equitable access a key policy concern. Lowering hardware costs and promoting affordable VR devices will help reduce the digital divide caused by expensive technology. Strengthening global technical education and training will enable people of all ages and socioeconomic backgrounds to adapt to Metaverse technologies and enhance digital literacy. Furthermore, governments and enterprises should invest in network infrastructure development in developing countries and remote areas to ensure global users can fairly access the Metaverse without being excluded due to geographic or economic constraints.

From the perspective of social relationships and human behavior, the Metaverse is reshaping social interactions, economic structures, and lifestyles. In terms of social interactions, virtual identities, immersive engagement, and global connectivity are transforming traditional communication methods, expanding interpersonal relationships from offline to virtual spaces. While this transformation offers broader social opportunities, it may also lead to social isolation, identity-related issues, and over-reliance on virtual interactions.

Economically, the Metaverse's virtual economy has begun to take shape. Decentralized finance (DeFi), NFT-based economies, and Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs) are altering traditional business models. While these emerging economic forms create new commercial opportunities worldwide, market speculation, virtual asset bubbles, and platform monopolies remain concerns requiring legal and regulatory oversight.

In the domains of work and education, remote work and immersive learning are becoming trends for the future. However, balancing virtual and real-world work environments and ensuring educational equity remain challenges that require further study. Moreover, the impact of the Metaverse on mental health is an issue that cannot be overlooked. Virtual addiction, algorithmic manipulation, and data security concerns may influence users' behaviors and psychological well-being. Therefore, ethical oversight must be strengthened alongside technological innovation to safeguard user welfare.

Overall, the rapid development of the Metaverse has introduced unprecedented social transformations while also presenting significant challenges. With advances in VR, AI, and blockchain, the Metaverse is expected to achieve breakthroughs in immersive experiences, intelligent interactions, and decentralized governance. However, technological progress must be accompanied by social governance to address issues such as privacy protection, virtual property rights, social equity, and ethical standards. Policymakers should enhance regulatory measures to ensure that technological innovation aligns with social responsibility, fostering the sustainable development of the Metaverse. Future research should further explore legal frameworks, ethical standards, and social impacts to ensure that the Metaverse ultimately creates a safe, fair, and inclusive virtual world for users worldwide.

Acknowledgments

ISSN (P): 3078-4433 | ISSN (O): 3078-4441 Doi:10.71113/JMSS.v2i2.290

The authors thank the editor and anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

Funding

Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Statements and Declarations

Statements and Declarations

No funding was received for conducting this study. The present study has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Publisher's Note

Author Contributions

Not applicable.

About the Authors

Mengyu Liu
Sookmyung Women's University, Korea

References

[1] Ball, M. (2022). The Metaverse: And How It Will Revolutionize Everything. W.W. Norton & Company.
[2] Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1966). The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. Anchor Books.
[3] Blascovich, J., & Bailenson, J. (2011). Infinite Reality: Avatars, Eternal Life, New Worlds, and the Dawn of the Virtual Revolution. William Morrow.
[4] Bryson, J. J. (2020). Ethics and technology in the metaverse. AI & Society, 35(3), 697-705. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00930-2
[5] Davis, A., Murphy, S., & Owens, J. (2021). Virtual identity and social presence in the Metaverse. Journal of Digital Culture, 15(4), 89-104.
[6] De Filippi, P., & Wright, A. (2018). Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code. Harvard University Press.
[7] Floridi, L. (2021). The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Oxford University Press.
[8] Johnson, M., Peters, L., & Wang, S. (2023). Online education in the metaverse: Challenges and opportunities. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(2), 134-149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10098-1
[9] Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rethinking AI and social media. Business Horizons, 63(1), 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.09.003
[10] Kim, J. (2021). Social interactions in virtual worlds: A metaverse perspective. Journal of Virtual Worlds Studies, 8(2), 12-35.
[11] Lanier, J. (2018). Dawn of the New Everything: A Journey Through Virtual Reality. Henry Holt and Co.
[12] Nakamura, L. (2021). Digital divide in the metaverse era. New Media & Society, 23(6), 1042-1058. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444821996778
[13] Park, S., & Kim, Y. (2022). The evolution of virtual communities in the metaverse. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 25(3), 179-191. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2021.0456
[14] Smith, R., & Jones, D. (2021). Metaverse and its impact on society. Technology & Society, 10(2), 56-78.
[15] Stephenson, N. (1992). Snow Crash. Bantam Books.
[16] West, S. (2022). Data privacy in the age of metaverse. Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy, 5(1), 67-82. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcp5010005